

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,818	10/18/2000	Noriaki Hashimoto	83115-002	3661
6449	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			COLIN, CARL G	
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20005		2136	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	<b>\$</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner					
Examiner Carl Colin  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edernicises of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a neply be timely filled other 50 (b) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If this period for reply specified above is less blank this (30) gain, a neply which the station yrinitismum of this (10) gain will be candidated from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallutes tree yield will be set or canded seried for reply will, by station to become ARANDONE (03 U.S.C. \$ 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semined patient than ediptionate. Be 37 CPR 1.706(b) (10) July 2.33.  Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semined patient than ediptionate. Be 37 CPR 1.706(b) July 3.33.  Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semined patient than ediptionate than ediptionate that the provision of the communication is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected to 2000 (s/are: a) Since patient may not request that any objected to 2000 (s/are: a) Since patient may not request that any objected to the developed patient since patient may not request that any objected to the developed patient is made of a claim for foreign priority under			Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederacions of the may be available under the provisions of 30 FPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 10 FPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory previous the studyor previous in this provision of this provision of this provision that the time and the policy and will engage SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or oxtended priced for reply vall, by statution, eause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 2, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office used the hir there amonts after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Status  1) ② Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2000.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ② This action is FINAL by Diagnosian and the provision of Claims  4) ② Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ② It has accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ② Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ② It has above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5b) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7b) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected to.  3c) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected to.  3c) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected to.  3c) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are allowed.  6c) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected to.  7c) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a six and a six and analysis of the provision of the provision of the provisio	Office Antion Comments		09/690,818	HASHIMOTO, NORIAKI	7
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 30°CPR 1.13(e). In a event, however, may a reply betimely filled after 50 (til) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply selficial form the mailing date of this communication.  Failure is reply within the set or celended period for reply will, by a statutory winterum of they 20' days will be cerember mailing date of this communication.  Failure is reply within the set or celended period for reply will, by altable, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. £ 133).  Any reply received by the Office alter than three maints after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patent turm adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.734(e).  Status  1) ② Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 October 2000.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ② This action is FINAL.  2b) ② This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ② Claim(s) is/are enjected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are enjected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filled on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled extension of time in the provision of the provision o		The MAN DIA DATE CO.		!	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled effects 50 (c) MONTES from the making date of this communication.  If the preiod for reply specified does be less than thin (y) (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) days, will be considered timely.  Failure to reply specified does be less than three months (30) (40) will be considered timely.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by shatta, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date in become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date, causes the application, even if fromely filed, may reduce any caused a status of the mailing date of the control	Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b objected by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	THE - Extended after and after and after and after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 (	<u> October 2000</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	•	closed in accordance with the practice under			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	· -		<b>.</b>		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	7)63				
6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b of disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	5)□		with the consideration.	·	
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
8					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		•	r election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 October 2000</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	_			• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	11)			isapproved by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	40)□	•	•		
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)	•		aminer.		
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	•		n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	a)	<u> </u>			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>		<u> </u>			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		, , , ,		·· <u>——</u>	
_ a) _ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	* ;	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
	14) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- ·		
Attachment(s)			· ·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2) D Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of I	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Pursuant to USC 131, claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 16 and 21 and the intervening claims are objected to because of the following informalities: in order to avoid rendering the claim indefinite, the term "capable of" should be corrected. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,818

Art Unit: 2136

- 3.1 Claims 9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication US2004/0073671 to Maria et al.
- As per claim 9, Maria et al. teaches a method for preventing an unauthorized access to a network via a user computer which is connected to the network and to an access control system, for example (see page 3, paragraph 0028 and page 2, paragraphs 0016-0018) comprising: storing an IP address assigned to the user computer in a memory of the access control system, for example (see page 1, paragraph 0008), receiving a data packet from the user computer, for example (see page 3, paragraph 0038 and page 2, paragraph 0017) and comparing an originated IP address of the data packet with the IP address of the user computer stored in the memory of the access control system, for example (see page 1, paragraph 0008, claims 1-2 and 8, and abstract ); and denying the user computer an access to the network if the originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user computer stored in the memory of the access control system, for example (see page 1, paragraph 0008 and page 3, paragraph 0041; claims 1 and 8); and teaches the limitation of wherein the access control system is located between the user computer and the host computer system, for example (page 2, paragraph 0017).

As per claim 11, Maria et al. discloses the limitation of further comprising updating the IP address of the user computer contained stored in the memory of the access control system, for example (see page 4, paragraphs 0043-0044).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/690,818

Art Unit: 2136

Page 4

As per claim 12, Maria et al. discloses the limitation further comprising deleting the IP address of the user computer from the memory of the access control system if the originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user stored in the memory of the access control system, for example (see page 4, paragraph 0043 and page 5, paragraph 0053).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.1 Claims 1-4, 5-8, 10, 13-15, 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication US2004/0073671 to Maria et al.
- As per claims 1, 5, and 13, Maria et al. substantially teaches an access control system and method for preventing an unauthorized access to a network via a user computer connected to the network through a host computer system, for example (see page 2, paragraphs 0017-0018), the system comprising: a memory containing an IP address assigned to the user computer, for

example (see page 1, paragraph 0008); and a microprocessor programmed to denying the user computer an access to the network if the originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user computer stored in the memory of the access control system, for example (see page 1, paragraph 0008; claims 1 and 8; and abstract); and teaches the limitation of wherein the access control system is located between the user computer and the host computer system, for example (page 2, paragraphs 0016-0018). Maria et al. further discloses terminating connection, for example (page 3, paragraph 0032) and suggests different network connections using service providers and Internet connections (see page 4, paragraphs 0043-0044), well known in the art. The process of terminating connection between a user and a host is also well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method or system of Maria et al. to terminate connection between the user computer and the host computer system when an originating IP address of a data packet received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide further network security as suggested by Maria et al. (page 3, paragraph 0032, page 5, paragraph 0056).

As per claims 2, 6, and 14, Maria et al. discloses the limitation of wherein the microprocessor is further programmed to delete the IP address of the user computer from the memory when the originating IP address of the data packet received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory, for example (see page 4, paragraph 0044 and page 5, paragraph 0053).

As per claims 3, 7, and 15, Maria et al. discloses the limitation of, wherein the microprocessor is further programmed to update the IP address of the user computer contained in the memory, for example (see page 4, paragraph 0044).

As per claims 4, 8, 23, Maria et al. discloses the limitation of wherein the memory is a part of the microprocessor (see abstract).

Claim 10 recites the same limitation found in the rejected claim 1. Therefore, claim 10 is rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 1.

Claims 16 and 20-22, recite some of the limitations of claims 1 and 5 except for using a secure network. Secure network topology or design is well known in the art. Therefore they are rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claims 1 and 5. In addition, Maria et al. suggests that one can vary the network design by monitoring inbound or outbound in-flow or out-flow between network and network devices placing the access control system in different nodes, for example (see page 2, paragraphs 0016-0017). Maria et al. teaches the matching step as mentioned in the rejection of claim 1 and teaches a packet filter processor to protect network access that meets the recitation of secure network, for example (see page paragraph 0053). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the network design of Maria et al. to terminate connection between the user computer and the host computer system when an originating IP address of a data packet sent from the user

Art Unit: 2136

computer for transmission to a node in the secure network does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory of the access control system. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so to prevent user from accessing another network such as the Internet as suggested by Maria et al. (page 1, paragraph 0032, page 5, paragraph 0056).

As per claim 17, Maria et al. discloses the limitation of wherein the user computer and the host computer system are connected via a Public Switched Telephone Network, for example (see page 4, paragraph 0049).

As per claim 18, Maria et al. discloses using PSTN network between host and user (see page 4, paragraph 0049) and discloses that packet filter processor include can interface with DTE, for example (see page 2, paragraph 0024). It is obvious to one skilled in the art that the disclosure of Maria meets the recitation of a host computer system comprises an access server and a plurality of modems and wherein the access control system is located between the access server and the plurality of modems. Such interconnection is also well known in the art.

As per claim 19, Maria et al. discloses using a LAN interface (see column 3, lines 25-35). It is apparent to one skilled in the art that any of the network can be a local area network as it is well known in the art and without departing from the spirit and the scope of the invention. Therefore, Maria et al. disclosure meets the recitation of the limitation of wherein the host computer system and the user computer are connected via a local area network (see claim 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,818

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as the art discloses method and apparatus for verifying user IP address for accessing a network.

US Patents:

5,684,951

Goldman et al.

6,427,170

Sitaraman et al.

6,070,243

See et al.

5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 703-305-0355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

ce

Carl Colin

Patent Examiner

April 19, 2004

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2:00